


Karma implies choice
to be
or
not to be.


Karma is only about limits.

For karma to exist,
as taught by religion, the
Universe would have to be a conspiracy
by God against itself.


There is no conspiracy!
Karma is consciousness.
It is only about limits.



There is no reward for limits.
There is no reward for activity.
There is no reward period!
There is not meant to be one.
Limits don't get Enlightened.




To be permanent infers
to be beyond change,
beyond movement,
beyond motion.
Such a state could not demonstrate itself
and would not
have any structure.
It could therefore never know law
since law
is a demonstration of limits,
of boundaries producing experience.




Something permanent could never learn,
since this would contradict
its intrinsic nature,
that of being without a need
for limits.

There could be no reward
or denial
for a permanent state,
therefore there is
no permanent soul
that needs to learn
anything.



Nor could such a state
ever be aware
of limits,
which are the building blocks
of learning.
There are not
many individuals,
there is only
one individuality
- God.




From where does our apparent sense
of individuality proceed?

It proceeds from the fact that
there are no two limits exactly the same.


Consciousness is a collection of such limits.

This form of individuality is however an experience
and is totally dependent on the environment.


It is an apparent individual looking for the
numerological, geometrical,
analogical, chromological,
spiritual, metaphysical
or astrological support
to justify its existence.




That is to say that if someone
is asked to describe themselves
outside these seven keys of creation
they will be unable to do so.
Whereas the individuality
that is God Realisation
knows itself
because of itself.
It is not dependent on any limits
for "self-recognition".



True individuality
knows itself because of itself
and is causeless.
All of which totally denies
any validity in reincarnation
and sees no use in any form of religion,
all of which teach
that "to become God you must have limits".
It is a total contradiction
on the part of religion
that it knows anything
about God
or ever has done.



True individuality has never been born
and never died,
has always and always will be
and has neither beginning nor end.



God is an absolute state,
it is self-created
and therefore has no beginning
nor end.

God is not gender biased
and God does not partake of
the limits of creation.

God is not an experience.


God is not knowledge.

God is not a state we can "know".


It is only a state

we can

"BE".



God is not aware
in a knowledge sense.
Knowledge is only limits.
Creation exists but it is
not simply the Earth
but ALL
the levels
of consciousness
until we pass
beyond
Light and Sound.



Creation has no beginning,
since God
has no beginning.




God does not make judgements.


To judge is to accept
and reject.

This is an action
and a dualism.


God is unity.




Consciousness is not
God –Realisation.




Consciousness is duality,
it is limited.
It cannot discover its origin;
it cannot question itself.




Not being self-created
consciousness
will always
be a reaction.
It can never "be".




There is no Enlightenment
for consciousness.
Only God is ever God




**There are no real questions
in creation.
Creation is fatalism.**




To be free
we would be able to exist
without the need
for limits.
Limits do not get
Enlightened.



There are no chosen people,
God does not make choices.
Choices are for limits.
Religions teach people
to accept limits.
Spirituality *demonstrates* to people
how to completely go beyond them.
Through an Enlightened teacher
they show
how to
"BE".




Reincarnation is an illusion,
it is based on a false premise.
The premise is that there is
a part of God trapped in people
called a "soul"
which needs to learn about creation
(which God created)
until sufficient amounts of information
and circumstance have been gathered
so that this "soul"
is then suitable to reclaim
its lost identity
(which is God)
and then it will be Enlightened.




True identity is that
which creates itself
into existence.


It cannot therefore
be deficient in any way
of any essential needs.




Limits do not get Enlightened;
collecting limits is irrelevant
to an absolute state.




An individuality would not
be able to limit itself
by itself
and therefore could
in no way
improve upon itself
or diminish itself.




For reincarnation to work
a permanent state would be required
to continue in uninterrupted existence,
never losing or gaining anything.
Such a permanent state is individual
and is therefore not having
to be made,
since anything that has been created
other than by itself
would intrinsically be unconscious
and essentially just a machine.




The idea of a "permanent self"
infers individuality
and simultaneously denies
any need for learning at all.
We cannot have it both ways.
God does not get lost
in Creation.
God has never touched
one brick of creation
and God has nothing to learn.



Identities stay where they exist.
They do not get transferred.
There are no
exact repetitions
in an infinite state.
Learning implies repetition.
Learning denies infinity.



Since limits
do not get Enlightened
(limits are fatalism),
then reincarnation
is again a useless concept
invented for the purpose
of trying
to justify
existence.



Looking for justice
is looking to justify ego
which is not
a true individuality,
only an apparent one.

In limits
change is justice
since all things
are subject to
change changelessly.